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In a simplistic industrialised world, people were working in a factory. They offered labour for a fixed number of hours a week and shareholders offered capital, translated into buildings and machine. Productivity was the target, Cobb-Douglas the equation, labour-capital relation the ideologic and trade-unions approach.

Although most people are still spiritually embedded is this post-War approach, these times are over and out. In the emerging intangible global age, people become jobmakers, not job-takers, executing their job when and where they want. Relevant investments become mainly intangible. Ideas, prototypes, software, know-how, business model, customer relationship management, marketing strategies, Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) … these are the real values of a company today. In the most advanced countries, just as Germany, Singapore, US, and Nordic countries, investments in intangibles are more important than tangible investments.
The paradigm shift, as the philosopher Thomas Kuhn would call it, implies a major and fast move in different fields. Regions and countries unable to adapt fast, will become the laggards. This panel welcomes presentation proposals that examine the following aspects of intangibles regulation from an interdisciplinary, complex systems approach (non-exhaustive list):

- Should Accounting rules be adapted to consider intangibles - such as human resources and the research phase of in-house development of intangibles - as assets rather than as a pure cost or expense?
- Should the current standards for the taxation of cross-border transfer and exploitation of hard-to-value-intangibles be reframed? What are the limitations of valuation techniques?
- Should financing be reshaped as banks still do not know how to cope with intangibles as they can rarely be considered as collateral? Is there a role for the public evaluation agencies and guarantee schemes?
- Should standardised evaluation methods for intangible investments be developed?
- Should “old-fashioned” protection procedures (e.g. patents, trademarks, etc.) be replaced by open-source approaches?
- How far temporary protection of research benefits should go? The covid-vaccine research raises the question for a new equilibrium between profits – necessary research investments – and general welfare?
- Should protection, if any, & authorisation mechanisms, be better dealt with at a global level, instead of the actual national approach?
- Do labour regulations, labour law and salary fixing need to be based on completely new models? What is the role of trade-unions and employer organisations in this context?
- What new approaches for public-private-partnership are possible linked to this intangible shift?
- What is the role of public policies to deal with inequality of income and wealth generated from the rise of the intangible economy?