

NA 3 – 2012

Nuova Atlantide 3-2012 (D.P. Errigo)

Synchronicity and Asymmetry: Space and time bifurcations' conjunction (M.R. Astolfi)

WCSA - III Conference Nov. 18TH - 19TH, 2012 – Vienna – program

Global Rituals of Knowledge Beauty (M.R. Astolfi)

WCSA as an Hypercitizenship Lab (A. Pitasi)

Analytic and Systemic Approaches - Two Sides of a Coin: The Example of Structural Theory (J. Seethaler)

WCSA - III Conference Nov. 18TH - 19TH, 2012 – Vienna – abstracts

Extending Simons: using the Dual-Perspective to understand the Distinctions between Nonviolent and Violent Rhetorical Philosophy (Tyrone L. Adams, Se-Jin Kim, John R. Couper)

Abstract

Many of us have not always succeeded to make an effort to fully understand the nature of conflict, the needs of "Ours" and "Have-nots," and the utility of conflict for societies. According to Simons, this is because the majority of us have had a tendency to reflect "Establishment" biases in treatments of persuasion in social conflicts. This paper thus utilizes a "dual perspective" as a lens to view the two positions: nonviolent and violent rhetorical philosophy. Specifically, it provides the reader with an understanding of: i) a classic view of rhetoric; ii) the justifications offered for nonviolent rhetoric; iii) the justifications offered for violent rhetoric. In essence, it would be ultimately up to the rhetor's choice which philosophy should be employed as strategy, depending upon the context, circumstances, and actor psychologies assembled to give praxis birth to either position. Finally, it would be beneficial for researchers to look into more effective ways to expand the common ground between the two distinct philosophies. In doing so, we will be also able to find better ways to induce more increased cooperation between humanists and behaviorists as Simons originally suggested. Such efforts will eventually help us to more comprehensively understand the nature of conflict, the needs of "Ours" and "Have-nots," and the utility of conflict for societies.

Violence in close relationships and the dramaturgy of violence (I. Bartholini)

From Antigone to hypercitizenship: a systemic search for a granular world (F. Rubba)

Abstract

Sophocles' Antigone from Valeria Parrella to Eva Cantarella point of view is the witness of the global right, focused on the conflict between "polis", "local" law and the universal meaning of justice. The modern Antigone from Valeria Parrella overlie the death: her choice is a flight against local boundaries toward universal friendship and citizenship. My stand point is that Andrea Pitasi would agree to define the Greek princess hypercitizen ante litteram! As a matter of fact the key hypothesis of his Italian book Hypercitizenship is to demonstrate that to gain Hypercitizenship it is a possible challenge; May be it is a core value to face the complex granularity of our fuzzy world. To change the perspective from value to constellation (Pitasis hypothesis) it is meaningful to law and juridical foundation of citizenship. Empiric world over and over seems to confirm Pitasis audacious hypothesis. Virtual and real world boundaries have been narrowing: as in defence and military tactic handling So we can state that to gain insight on Hyper citizenship is to realize a soft easy way of living : to Keep it simple—but meaningful. To improve organizational health a powerful mean is simplicity. In short, don't let the metrics get out of hand. Hypercitizen NETWORLD goes over: site, to

contact, forum (the key world of networld browser) are scheduled to support hypercitizenship need of search. The networld constitute a browser which may handle push and pull gathering of evidence. My stand point of Evidence reviewer and MD is to trust Andrea Pitasis challenge and to support audacious idea of Hypercitizenship foundation of human rights. As Antigone said: it is no time to stopping search... only by a new dream the future can start.

Book Review: “La persona nella teoria sociologica contemporanea” (V. Di Simone)